

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/845,138	04/30/2001	Hernan G. Otero	21710-68171	3105		
28062	7590 10/08/2003	EXAMINER				
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR, & ALLISON			FELTEN, DANIEL S			
5 ELM STREET NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3624			
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/200	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/845,138 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit **3624**

Maschoff

|--|--|--|

		Daniei	reiten	3624	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with the corres	spondence address	
Period f	for Reply				
THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In grain of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply in to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, ma the statutory minimum o and will expire SIX (6) it the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will b MONTHS from the mailin ne ABANDONED (35 U.S	d after SIX (6) MONTHS from the e considered timely. ng dete of this communication. S.C. § 133).	
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,,		
Status		2004			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 30, 2			•	
2a) ∐	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				
	tion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>		is/are	e pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ntion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)□ object€	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) approved	b) \square disapproved by the Examin-	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office act	ion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	eau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	this National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			(e).	
	The translation of the foreign language provisional				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm	ent(s)				
1) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) 💢 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5 & 6	6) Other:			-

Serial Number: 09/845, 138 Applicant(s): Otero, et al. (705/37)

Art Unit: 3624

1

7

9

10

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

Representative: Chovanes (33,481)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is acknowledged that Application 09/845,138 filed April 30, 2001 is a Continuation-

in-Part of Application 09/823,125 filed March 30, 2001, which also is a Continuation-in-Part

of Application 09/773,139 filed January 31, 2001 which claims benefit of 60/241,807 October,

6 14, 2000. Claims 1-32 are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 14-17 and 29--32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. There is no distinction between the trading strategy

of the first and second plug-in. It is therefore unclear as to the manner to which the first

and/or second plug-in are/is function in relation to each other. Therefore such claim(s) are

considered a mere duplication of parts which have no patentable significance being non-

patentable subject matter (see In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378).

21

Serial Number: 09/845, 138 Applicant(s): Otero, et al. (705/37) Page 3

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Chovanes (33,481)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,421,653 B1) in view of In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378, 380; 274 F.2d 669 (CCPA 1960).

May discloses an apparatus, a method and an article for computerized trading over the Internet as claimed by the applicants' invention (see May figs. 2 & 3, Abstract; and col. 11, ll. 65 to col. 16, line 14). May fails to disclose the numerous plug-in features used particularly to implement and perform various trade activities as disclosed in claims 1 and 6-13, 14, 18-28 and 29-32.

However, May does disclose the use of the Java applets via a web browser (see May, col. 13, ll. 35+) to perform various trading functions (see May, col. 13, ll. 35+; and col. 16, ll. 20+). Java applets are a notoriously old and well known Java class that are loaded and run by a Java applications such as a Web-browser or applet viewer. Java applets can be downloaded and by any web browser, and are frequently used to add multi-media effects and interactivity to Web-pages.

Serial Number: 09/845, 138 Applicant(s): Otero, et al. (705/37) Page 4

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Chovanes (33,481)

I

The functional similarities of plug-ins and applets as they relate to adding functionality to Web Browsers are notoriously old and well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the similarities of plug-ins and Java applets, being art recognized equivalents, and would have sought to use a plug-in software as an alternative to Java applets to provide interactive functionality to electronic trading of financial instruments within an Internet browser (see May, figs. 1-4 and figs. 24-33). Thus to substitute the plug-ins for Java applets features disclosed in the May invention would provide no unexpected results. Thus such a modification being obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Additionally, May discloses various applets which perform different functions within the invention to electronically trade financial instruments. Applicant discloses plug-ins (a first, a second, a third and a forth plug-in) which perform the same function with no unexpected result produced other than to implement various aspects of the trading strategy. Thus the duplication of plug-ins within the invention are found obvious by In re Harza, because an artisan of ordinary skill would recognize that the mere duplication of parts to provide the same function of implementing a trading strategy, would produce no new or unexpected results and thus have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art.

Serial Number: 09/845, 138 Applicant(s): Otero, et al. (705/37) Page 5

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Chovanes (33,481)

Conclusion

2

1

3 6. A list of relevant prior art appears below not relied upon in this Office Action:

4 US Patents:

- 5 Gell et al (US 5,802,502) discloses a system for selective communications based transaction
- 6 pricing signals
- 7 Rickard et al (US 6,016,483) discloses a method and apparatus for automated opening of options
- 8 exchange
- 9 Belzberg (US 6,134,535) discloses a computerized stock exchange trading system automatically
- 10 formatting orders from a spreadsheet to an order entry system
- 11 Mittal (US 6,233,609) discloses a method and apparatus for remote interaction with and
- configuration of a WAN based knowledge base
- Bahreman (US 6,061,665) discloses a system, method and article of manufacture for dynamic
- negotiation of a network payment framework.

15

16

17

Foreign Patents:

Neyman (WO 01/98965) discloses a configurable anonymous trading system

18 19

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
- should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The
- examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.
- 22 Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should
- be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor
- Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.

25

26

8. Response to this action should be mailed to:

Serial Number: 09/845, 138

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant(s): Otero, et al. (705/37)

Page 6

Representative: Chovanes (33,481)

I

2

3

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

16 17

18

19

DSF

September 30, 2003

Vines Mille

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600